11

Greedy-algorithm:

- \blacktriangleright start with $f(e) = 0$ everywhere
- **Find an** *s***-***t* **path with** $f(e) < c(e)$ **on every edge**
- **F** augment flow along the path
- **Figure 2** repeat as long as possible

The Residual Graph

From the graph $G = (V, E, c)$ and the current flow f we construct an auxiliary graph $G_f = (V, E_f, c_f)$ (the residual graph):

- \blacktriangleright Suppose the original graph has edges $e_1 = (u, v)$, and $e_2 = (v, u)$ between *u* and *v*.
- ► *G_f* has edge e'_1 with capacity max{0, $c(e_1) f(e_1) + f(e_2)$ } and e'_2 with with capacity $\max\{0, c(e_2) - f(e_2) + f(e_1)\}.$

Definition 1

An augmenting path with respect to flow *f* , is a path from *s* to *t* in the auxiliary graph *G^f* that contains only edges with non-zero capacity.

Algorithm 1 FordFulkerson($G = (V, E, c)$) 1: Initialize $f(e) \leftarrow 0$ for all edges. 2: while ∃ augmenting path *p* in *G^f* do 3: augment as much flow along *p* as possible.

Animation for augmenting path algorithms is only available in the lecture version of the slides.

Theorem 2

A flow f is a maximum flow iff there are no augmenting paths.

Theorem 3

The value of a maximum flow is equal to the value of a minimum cut.

Proof.

Let *f* be a flow. The following are equivalent:

- **1.** There exists a cut A, B such that $val(f) = cap(A, B)$.
- 2. Flow *f* is a maximum flow.
- 3. There is no augmenting path w.r.t. *f* .

 $1. \Rightarrow 2.$ This we already showed.

 $2. \Rightarrow 3.$

If there were an augmenting path, we could improve the flow. Contradiction.

 $3. \implies 1.$

- \blacktriangleright Let *f* be a flow with no augmenting paths.
- *ñ* Let *A* be the set of vertices reachable from *s* in the residual graph along non-zero capacity edges.
- *^ñ* Since there is no augmenting path we have *^s* [∈] *^A* and *^t* [∉] *^A*.

$$
\text{val}(f) = \sum_{e \in \text{out}(A)} f(e) - \sum_{e \in \text{into}(A)} f(e)
$$

$$
= \sum_{e \in \text{out}(A)} c(e)
$$

$$
= \text{cap}(A, V \setminus A)
$$

This finishes the proof.

Here the first equality uses the flow value lemma, and the second exploits the fact that the flow along incoming edges must be 0 as the residual graph does not have edges leaving *A*.

Analysis

Assumption: All capacities are integers between 1 and *C*.

Invariant:

Every flow value $f(e)$ and every residual capacity $c_f(e)$ remains integral troughout the algorithm.

Lemma 4

The algorithm terminates in at most $val(f^*) \leq nC$ *iterations, where f* ∗ *denotes the maximum flow. Each iteration can be implemented in time* O*(m). This gives a total running time of* $O(nmC)$

Theorem 5

If all capacities are integers, then there exists a maximum flow for which every flow value f (e) is integral.

A Bad Input

Problem: The running time may not be polynomial.

Question:

Can we tweak the algorithm so that the running time is polynomial in the input length?

A Bad Input

Problem: The running time may not be polynomial.

Question:

Can we tweak the algorithm so that the running time is polynomial in the input length?

A Pathological Input

Let
$$
r = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5} - 1)
$$
. Then $r^{n+2} = r^n - r^{n+1}$

.

How to choose augmenting paths?

- **► We need to find paths efficiently.**
- ▶ We want to quarantee a small number of iterations.

Several possibilities:

- ► Choose path with maximum bottleneck capacity.
- **Choose path with sufficiently large bottleneck capacity.**
- **For** Choose the shortest augmenting path.

