

Course "The Power of Polynomials and How To Use Them",  
JASS 2007

## GCD and factorization of multivariate polynomials

Rosa Freund

Computer Science Department  
TU München

March 23, 2007

## Definition 1

Let  $R$  be a ring.  $R[x_1, \dots, x_k] = R[\mathbf{x}]$  is the set of all **multivariate polynomials** over  $R$ . We write  $a(\mathbf{x}) \in R[\mathbf{x}]$  as

$$a(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}^k} a_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{e}}$$

To work with multivariate polynomials, we need some basic arithmetic concepts such as an ordering.

## Definition 2

**Lexicographical ordering:** Let  $d, e \in \mathbb{N}^k$  be two exponent vectors. Let  $j < k$  be the smallest integer such that  $d_j \neq e_j$ . Define an ordering as follows:

$$d < e \text{ if } d_j < e_j$$

$$d > e \text{ if } d_j > e_j$$

The coefficient of the first term of a lexicographically ordered polynomial is called **leading coefficient** and denoted by  $\text{lcoeff}(a(x))$ .

## Example 3

The following polynomial  $\in \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$  is arranged in lexicographically decreasing order:

$$A(x) = 2x^3y^3z^7 + 3x^3y^2z^8 - 5x^2y^7 + z^3$$

## Definition 2

**Lexicographical ordering:** Let  $d, e \in \mathbb{N}^k$  be two exponent vectors. Let  $j < k$  be the smallest integer such that  $d_j \neq e_j$ . Define an ordering as follows:

$$d < e \text{ if } d_j < e_j$$

$$d > e \text{ if } d_j > e_j$$

The coefficient of the first term of a lexicographically ordered polynomial is called **leading coefficient** and denoted by  $\text{lcoeff}(a(x))$ .

## Example 3

The following polynomial  $\in \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$  is arranged in lexicographically decreasing order:

$$A(x) = 2x^3y^3z^7 + 3x^3y^2z^8 - 5x^2y^7 + z^3$$

## Definition 4

The **degree vector**  $\delta(A(x))$  of a multivariate polynomial is the exponent vector of its leading term. The **total degree** of a multivariate polynomial is the maximum degree of any of its summands. The degree of a summand is the sum of all exponents of its terms.

Problem of Euclidean Algorithm with polynomials: Growth of Remainders, even when adjusted to work only in rings.  
Consider the following example:

### Example 5

Let  $A(x), B(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be defined as

$$A(x) = x^8 + x^6 - 3x^4 - 3x^3 + x^2 + 2x - 5$$

$$B(x) = 3x^6 + 5x^4 - 4x^2 - 9x + 21$$

Running the Euclidean algorithm in  $\mathbb{Q}$  yields the following remainder sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}R_2(x) &= -\frac{5}{9}x^4 + \frac{1}{9}x^2 - \frac{1}{3} \\R_3(x) &= -\frac{117}{25}x^2 - 9x + \frac{411}{25} \\R_4(x) &= \frac{233150}{19773}x - \frac{102500}{6591} \\R_5(x) &= -\frac{1288744821}{543589225}\end{aligned}$$

Since  $R_5(x)$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are relatively prime.

Algorithm MGCD works as follows:

- ▶ Use ring homomorphisms to map polynomials from  $D$  to simpler UFDs  $D'$
- ▶ Solve for GCD in new UFD (e.g. by Euclidean Algorithm)
- ▶ It can be shown that  $\deg(\text{GCD in } D) \leq \deg(\text{GCD in } D')$ . We thus have an upper bound for the degree of the GCD in  $D$ .
- ▶ Information loss is compensated by using several different homomorphisms
- ▶ Multivariate polynomials are handled recursively by viewing  $R[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  as  $R[x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}][x_k]$



Algorithm MGCD works as follows:

- ▶ Use ring homomorphisms to map polynomials from  $D$  to simpler UFDs  $D'$
- ▶ Solve for GCD in new UFD (e.g. by Euclidean Algorithm)
- ▶ It can be shown that  $\deg(\text{GCD in } D) \leq \deg(\text{GCD in } D')$ . We thus have an upper bound for the degree of the GCD in  $D$ .
- ▶ Information loss is compensated by using several different homomorphisms
- ▶ Multivariate polynomials are handled recursively by viewing  $R[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  as  $R[x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}][x_k]$

Algorithm MGCD works as follows:

- ▶ Use ring homomorphisms to map polynomials from  $D$  to simpler UFDs  $D'$
- ▶ Solve for GCD in new UFD (e.g. by Euclidean Algorithm)
- ▶ It can be shown that  $\deg(\text{GCD in } D) \leq \deg(\text{GCD in } D')$ . We thus have an upper bound for the degree of the GCD in  $D$ .
- ▶ Information loss is compensated by using several different homomorphisms
- ▶ Multivariate polynomials are handled recursively by viewing  $R[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  as  $R[x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}][x_k]$

Algorithm MGCD works as follows:

- ▶ Use ring homomorphisms to map polynomials from  $D$  to simpler UFDs  $D'$
- ▶ Solve for GCD in new UFD (e.g. by Euclidean Algorithm)
- ▶ It can be shown that  $\deg(\text{GCD in } D) \leq \deg(\text{GCD in } D')$ . We thus have an upper bound for the degree of the GCD in  $D$ .
- ▶ Information loss is compensated by using several different homomorphisms
- ▶ Multivariate polynomials are handled recursively by viewing  $R[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  as  $R[x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}][x_k]$

Algorithm MGCD works as follows:

- ▶ Use ring homomorphisms to map polynomials from  $D$  to simpler UFDs  $D'$
- ▶ Solve for GCD in new UFD (e.g. by Euclidean Algorithm)
- ▶ It can be shown that  $\deg(\text{GCD in } D) \leq \deg(\text{GCD in } D')$ . We thus have an upper bound for the degree of the GCD in  $D$ .
- ▶ Information loss is compensated by using several different homomorphisms
- ▶ Multivariate polynomials are handled recursively by viewing  $R[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  as  $R[x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}][x_k]$

Modular GCD algorithm MGCD

Input:  $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$

## Example 6

Consider the following polynomials  $\in \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$ :

$$A(x, y, z) = 9x^5 + 2x^4yz - 189x^3y^2z + 117x^3yz^2 + 3x^3 - 42x^2y^4z^2 + 26x^2y^2z^3 + 18x^2 - 63xy^3z + 39xyz^2 + 4xyz + 6$$

$$B(x, y, z) =$$

$$6x^6 - 126x^4y^3z + 78x^4yz^2 + x^4y + x^4z + 13x^3 - 21x^2y^4z - 21x^2y^3z^2 + 13x^2y^2z^2 + 13x^2yz^3 - 21xy^3z + 13xyz^2 + 2xy + 2xz + 2$$

Use 3 moduli in which to work: 11, 13 and 17.

In  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$  we now work with the polynomials

$$A_{11}(x, y, z) = -2x^5 + 2x^4yz - 2x^3y^2z - 4x^3yz^2 + 3x^3 + 2x^2y^4z^2 + 4x^2y^2z^3 - 4x^2 + 3xy^3z - 5xyz^2 + 4xyz - 5 \text{ and}$$

$$B_{11}(x, y, z) = -5x^6 - 5x^4y^3z + x^4yz^2 + x^4y + x^4z + 2x^3 + x^2y^4z + x^2y^3z^2 + 2x^2y^2z^2 + 2x^2yz^3 + xy^3z + 2xyz^2 + 2xy + 2xz + 2$$

Now evaluate polynomials at four arbitrary points and compute GCD recursively.

## Problems with MGCD:

- ▶ Need to throw away "unlucky homomorphisms"
- ▶ Number of domains which have to be used is exponential in the number of variables of the polynomials.
- ▶ Ineffective, when the polynomials have a "sparse" rather than a "dense" structure
- ▶ Hence: Especially useless for multivariate polynomials!



## Problems with MGCD:

- ▶ Need to throw away "unlucky homomorphisms"
- ▶ Number of domains which have to be used is exponential in the number of variables of the polynomials.
- ▶ Ineffective, when the polynomials have a "sparse" rather than a "dense" structure
- ▶ Hence: Especially useless for multivariate polynomials!

## Problems with MGCD:

- ▶ Need to throw away "unlucky homomorphisms"
- ▶ Number of domains which have to be used is exponential in the number of variables of the polynomials.
- ▶ Ineffective, when the polynomials have a "sparse" rather than a "dense" structure
- ▶ Hence: Especially useless for multivariate polynomials!

## Problems with MGCD:

- ▶ Need to throw away "unlucky homomorphisms"
- ▶ Number of domains which have to be used is exponential in the number of variables of the polynomials.
- ▶ Ineffective, when the polynomials have a "sparse" rather than a "dense" structure
- ▶ Hence: Especially useless for multivariate polynomials!

Algorithm SparseMod (Zippel, 1979) works as follows:

- ▶ Constructs alternating sequence of dense and sparse interpolations

Algorithm EZ-GCD (Moses, Yun 1973) works as follows:

- ▶ Uses Hensel's lemma to reduce polynomials to a univariate representation, determine GCD in simpler domain
- ▶ Requires just one homomorphism for each variable
- ▶ As with MGCD, relatively prime polynomials are discovered quickly

Algorithm EZ-GCD (Moses, Yun 1973) works as follows:

- ▶ Uses Hensel's lemma to reduce polynomials to a univariate representation, determine GCD in simpler domain
- ▶ Requires just one homomorphism for each variable
- ▶ As with MGCD, relatively prime polynomials are discovered quickly

Algorithm EZ-GCD (Moses, Yun 1973) works as follows:

- ▶ Uses Hensel's lemma to reduce polynomials to a univariate representation, determine GCD in simpler domain
- ▶ Requires just one homomorphism for each variable
- ▶ As with MGCD, relatively prime polynomials are discovered quickly

Extended Zassenhaus GCD algorithm EZ-GCD

Input:  $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]$



Multivariate factoring problems over  $\mathbb{Z}$  can be reduced to univariate factoring problems modulo a prime

### Definition 7

$a(x) \in R[x]$  is called **square-free** if it has no repeated factors.

### Definition 8

The **square-free factorization** of  $a(x)$  is  $a(x) = \prod_{i=1}^k a_i(x)^i$ , where each  $a_i(x)$  is square-free, and  $\text{GCD}(a_i(x), a_j(x)) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Multivariate factoring problems over  $\mathbb{Z}$  can be reduced to univariate factoring problems modulo a prime

### Definition 7

$a(x) \in R[x]$  is called **square-free** if it has no repeated factors.

### Definition 8

The **square-free factorization** of  $a(x)$  is  $a(x) = \prod_{i=1}^k a_i(x)^i$ , where each  $a_i(x)$  is square-free, and  $\text{GCD}(a_i(x), a_j(x)) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Algorithm SquareFree determines the square-free factorization of a polynomial  $a(x) \in R[x]$ ,  $R$  UFD with  $\text{char}(R) = 0$   
Improvement by Yun (19??): One more differentiation than SquareFree, but much simpler GCD calculations.  
Similar algorithm determines square-free factorization over finite fields  $GF(q)$

Algorithm by Berlekamp (1967) works as follows: Factors polynomials in  $GF(q)[x]$  where  $q = p^m$

## Berlekamp's Factoring Algorithm

Input:  $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}]$

Multivariate Factoring: Accomplished by factoring of univariate polynomials over a finite field and Hensel liftings.

